

SO YOU WANT TO REMOVE ALL UB

Martin Hořeňovský



SO YOU WANT TO REMOVE ALL UB

Martin Hořeňovský



We all hate UB, right?

```
#include <stdio>
```

```
int main() {
```

```
    int i = 1;
```

```
    while (i > 0) {
```

```
        std::puts("Hello\n");
```

```
        i *= 2;
```

```
    }
```

```
}
```

```
#include <stdio>

int main() {
    int i = 1;
    while (i > 0) {
        std::puts("Hello\n");
        i *= 2;
    }
}
```

```
main:
    push    rbx
    lea    rbx, [rip + .L.str]
.LBB0_1:
    mov    rdi, rbx
    call   puts@PLT
    jmp    .LBB0_1
.L.str:
    .asciz "Hello\n"
```

```
#include <stdio>

static int f(int i) { return i+1;}

int main() {
    int i = 1;
    while (f(i) != i) {}
    puts("How did we get here?\n");
}
```

```
#include <stdio>
```

```
static int f(int i) { return i+1;}
```

```
int main() {  
    int i = 1;  
    while (f(i) != i) {}  
    puts("How did we get here?\n");  
}
```

```
main:
```

```
static int elements[] = {1, 2, 3, 4};

static bool contains(int elem) {
    for (int i = 0; i <= 4; ++i) {
        if (elements[i] == elem) { return true; }
    }
    return false;
}

int main() {
    int num;
    while (std::cin >> num) {
        std::cout << std::boolalpha
            << contains(num) << '\n';
    }
}
```


WHAT IS UB?

UB is a contract between the programmer ...

UB is a contract between the programmer ...
... and the compiler.

The programmer promises that certain things will never happen.

The programmer promises that certain things will never happen.

The compiler promises to optimize the code as much as it can, assuming no UB.

WHY IS UB?

Many useful properties either cannot be proven locally,
or at all.

Many useful properties either cannot be proven locally,
or at all.

UB moves this responsibility to the programmer
instead.

CAN WE GET RID OF UB?

NO

NO

```
template <typename T>
void debug_print(T const* ptr) {
    if (ptr) {
        print(*ptr);
    } else {
        print("nullptr");
    }
}

void f(int const& i) {
    debug_print(&i); // laziness ftw
}
```

```
template <typename T>
void debug_print(T const* ptr) {
    if (ptr) {
        print(*ptr);
    } else {
        print("nullptr");
    }
}

void f(int const& i) {
    debug_print(&i); // laziness ftw
}
```

```
void f(int const& i) {
    print(i);
}
```

```
void scan(int* length, float* array) {  
    float sum = 0.0f;  
    for (int i = 0; i < *length; i++) {  
        sum += array[i];  
        array[i] = sum;  
    }  
}
```

```

void scan(int* length, float* array) {
    float sum = 0.0f;
    for (int i = 0; i < *length; i++) {
        sum += array[i];
        array[i] = sum;
    }
}

```

```

scan(int*, float*):
    mov     eax, dword ptr
    test   eax, eax
    jle    .LBB0_3
    xorps  xmm0, xmm0
    xor    ecx, ecx
.LBB0_2:
    # =>This Inne
    addss  xmm0, dword pt
    movss  dword ptr [rsi
    inc    rcx
    cmp    rax, rcx
    jne    .LBB0_2
.LBB0_3:
    ret

```


CAN WE GET RID OF SOME UB?

INTEGER OVERFLOW?

INTEGER OVERFLOW?

Sure, two's complement has won.

INFINITE LOOPS?

INFINITE LOOPS?

```
for (p = q; p != 0; p = p->next) {  
    ++count;  
}  
for (p = q; p != 0; p = p->next) {  
    ++count2;  
}
```

INFINITE LOOPS?

```
for (p = q; p != 0; p = p->next) {  
    ++count;  
}
```

```
for (p = q; p != 0; p = p->next) {  
    ++count2;  
}
```

```
for (p = q; p != 0; p = p->next) {  
    ++count;  
    ++count2;  
}
```

Others?

「_(ツ)_/」

THE END

